



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,831	02/17/2004	Edgar Leon Swift		9168

7590 06/17/2005

EDGAR L. SWIFT
 1596 SANDPOINT DRIVE
 ROSWELL, GA 30075

EXAMINER

BURCH, MELODY M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3683

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/779,831	Applicant(s) SWIFT, EDGAR LEON	
	Examiner Melody M. Burch	Art Unit 3683	

All Participants:

(1) Melody M. Burch.

(2) Edgar Swift.

Status of Application: after non-final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 June 2005

Time: 9:00 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

claims 1-3

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patents 2716031 to Roessler and 4767128 to Terhune and US Patent Application 2003/0141686 to Willis.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Melody M. Burch 6/9/05
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Mr. Swift agreed on changes to the specification to ensure that it coincides with the drawings and that the terms in the specification coincide with those set forth in the claim language, changes to the drawings to clearly show claimed portions of the handle arrangement, and changes to the claims to ensure claim language consistency.

In order to more clearly define the invention, it was also agreed to include in claim 1 that the engagement of the brake pad is with the outer surface of a tire of the at least one of the two rear wheels of the wheelbarrow and that the second end of the brake tension spring is directly connected to one of the two rear wheel brackets.

Melody M. Buice
6/9/05